

### **JAPAN** (2000-2019)

### THE ELIMINATION OF DISCRIMINATION IN RESPECT OF EMPLOYMENT AND OCCUPATION

REPORTING	Fulfillment of Government's reporting obligations	(JTUC-RENGO) through consultations and communication of Government's reports.		
	Involvement of Employers' and Workers' organizations in the reporting process			
OBSERVATIONS BY	Employers' organizations	2001 AR: Observations by the JBF.		
THE SOCIAL PARTNERS	Workers' organizations	2014-2015 A 2010 AR: Ob 2007 AR: Ob Trade Union 2006 AR: Ob Confederation 2004-2005 A 2003 AR: Ob	Rs: Observations by the JTUC-RENGO. Rs: Observations by the JTUC-RENGO. servations by the JTUC-RENGO. servations by the JTUC-RENGO. Observations by the International Confederation (ITUC). servations by the JTUC-RENGO. Observations by the International n of Free Trade Unions (ICFTU). R: Observations by the JTUC-RENGO. servations by the ICFTU. R: Observations by the JTUC-RENGO.	
			servations by the JTUC-RENGO.	
EFFORTS AND PROGRESS MADE IN REALIZING THE PRINCIPLE AND	Ratification	Ratification status	Japan ratified the Equal Remuneration Convention, 1951 (No. 100) (C.100) in 1967. However, it has not yet ratified the Discrimination (Employment and Occupation) Convention, 1958 (No. 111) (C.111).	
RIGHT		Ratification intention	Under consideration for C.111.	
		mitention	<ul> <li>2019 AR: The Government held discussions on ratifying ILO Convention No.111 at tripartite consultation meeting on May 15, 2019. In addition, the Government exchanged of views with social partners requesting ratification of C111. Further study is needed, however, concerning the consistency between C111 and national laws and regulations.</li> <li>2018 AR: The Government reports that it held discussions on</li> </ul>	
			ratifying ILO Convention No.111 at tripartite consultation meeting on April 16, 2018. In addition, the Government exchanged views with social partners requesting ratification of C111. Further study is needed, however, concerning the consistency between C111 and national laws and regulations.	
			<b>2016 AR:</b> According to the Government: The Government held discussions on ratifying C.111 at a tripartite consultation meeting on 22 April 2016. In addition, the Government exchanged views with social partners requesting ratification of C.111. Further study is needed, however, concerning the consistency between C.111 and national laws and regulations.	
			<b>2015 AR</b> : According to the Government: discussions were held on the ratification of C.111 at tripartite consultation meetings and there was an exchange of views with social partners requesting ratification of C.111. However, the Government believes that further study is needed concerning the consistency between C.111 and current national laws and regulations.	
			JTUC-RENGO regrets the grave situation where no positive progress for ratification of C.111 has been made over the years. Tripartite consultation on this matter did take place in April 2015 at the "ILO Roundtable" set up based on C.144, but it considers the consultations be far from effective. The Government, referring to a cabinet decision in 1953, stipulates that no convention could be ratified unless all the potentially conflicting domestic laws are amended and argues that for this reason, Japan is unable to ratify C.111. Concern is raised that Japan will never actually ratify	



	Policy, legislation and/or	C.111 in this light.  2013-2014 ARs: The Government reiterated that there was no change regarding ratification status. JTUC-RENGO expressed its disappointment at the situation where no progress has been made towards ratification of C.111. It strongly urged the Government to take positive and concrete actions to ratify this Convention as soon as possible and to collect information on how countries which have ratified C. 111 ensure consistency between their domestic laws and the Convention, and to promote research and study among the ministries and agencies concerned.  2009-2012 ARs: According to the Government: No change.  According to the JTUC-RENGO: The Government should ratify C.111. In this regard, the JTUC- RENGO believes it is necessary for the Government to strengthen its efforts to promote Diet Members' understanding of the importance of ratification of core C.111 so as to activate discussion at the Diet toward ratification of this Convention (for instance, the Government can make thorough explanation about the purport and background of the Convention, and importance of ratifying core Conventions, etc.).  2000-2006 ARs: According to the Government: Further study is needed in view of, for instance, the relations between the provisions of C.111 and national Acts and regulations.  2000 AR: According to his JTUC-RENGO: The Government should ratify C.111 as soon as possible.  2001 AR: According to NIKKEIREN: Japan should ratify C.111. Tripartite consultations should be established in order to assess difficulties and obstacles as regards the ratification of this Convention and appropriate measures in order to address them.  YES.  Under the 1947 Constitution (article 14, paragraph 1), "All of the people are equal under the law and there shall be no discrimination in political, economic or social relations because of race, creed, sex, social status or family origin. (Excerpt.)". Discriminatory measures in contravention of the constitutional provisions in national Acts and/or regulations are prohibited, and in
the principle and right (prospect(s), means of action, basic legal provisions)	Policy, legislation	Under the 1947 Constitution (article 14, paragraph 1), "All of the people are equal under the law and there shall be no discrimination in political, economic or social relations because of race, creed, sex, social status or family origin. (Excerpt.)". Discriminatory measures in contravention of the constitutional provisions in national Acts and/or regulations are prohibited, and in fact, no such Acts or regulations and/or administrative measures exist. The Constitution (article 22) guarantees free choice of occupation to all individuals.  • Policy:  2008 AR: According to the Government: In order to promote equal
		<ul> <li>2017 AR: The Government reported that the Act on Proper Technical Intern Training and Protection of Technical Intern Trainees (hereinafter the "Technical Training Act") was enacted in November, 2016. The purpose of this Act is to protect the technical intern trainees.</li> <li>2015 AR: According to the Government: The Ordinance for Enforcement of the Equal Employment Opportunity Act was amended in December 2013 to promote the reduction of disparity between men and women in employment. With the amendment, the scope of what is considered indirect discrimination has broadened.</li> </ul>
		These provisions took effect in July 2014. A Bill on the Partial Revision of the Act on Improvement of Employment Management for Part-time Workers was submitted to the 186th Diet and passed in April 2014. It aims to expand the scope of part-time workers deemed equivalent to ordinary workers against whom discriminatory treatment is to be prohibited and to introduce a new measure that obliges employers to explain the details of measures they take to improve employment management to newly hired part-time workers.



matters set discrimination discrimination discrimination people with that people (Reasonable upon the A Disabled of Government with Disabilithe public, representative matters on e According amending do 2015.  2014 AR: Commission handle hum respect of er November 2 of Represent as to what he has the light of the Act for was amended discrimination employment obstacles for provide reas enforced as a The Basic A contains new with disabilitie equal rights  2011 AR: rights remember 2001 and 20 Employment into force it discrimination and control setting improvided in the response of the control of the cont	2015, 'Guidelines for employers to treat properly, on forth in the provisions relating to the prohibition of on against people with disabilities (Guidelines on antion against people with disabilities)', and 'Guidelines for n measures to ensure equal opportunities or treatment for disabilities in employment, and eliminate the barriers so with disabilities can use their abilities effectively accommodation guidelines)' were formulated, based mendment of the Law for Employment Promotion of Persons. When formulating these guidelines, the consulted the Subcommittee on Employment of Persons ities of the Labour Policy Council in which members of worker representatives, employer representatives and ves of people with disabilities considered important mployment policy of disabled persons.  To JTUC-RENGO: No progress has been seen in omestic laws towards ratification of C.111 as of August According to the Government: The Human Rights a Bill to establish a new human rights institution to nan rights infringements including discrimination in mployment and occupation was submitted to the Diet in 012 but was scrapped due to the dissolution of the House tatives on 16 November 2012. Appropriate consideration numan rights remedy system ought to be is underway in discussions made so far.  Promotion of Employment of Persons with Disabilities of in June 2013 and new provisions include: the on against persons with disabilities in the field of its shall be prohibited and the measures to remove various them in the workplace shall be taken (obligation to onable accommodation). These provisions are to be of April 2016. 2012 AR: According to the Government: ct for Persons with Disabilities was amended in 2011. It we provisions to prohibit discrimination against persons tites and removes various existing obstacles encouraging and benefits for persons with disabilities.  According to the Government: A Bill on new human dy system is under review in order to realize more lief for victims of human rights infringements which rimination in respe
workers; an	d (v) assisting employers in addressing various issues, xual harassment at workplaces.
i)	Constitution of Japan, articles 14, 22; Labour Standards Act (Act No. 49 of 1947), sections 3, 4, 119; Mariners Act (Act No. 100 of 1947), section 6;
ii)	National Public Service Act (Act No. 120 of 1947), sections 27, 109;
iii)	Employment Security Act (Act No. 141 of 1947), sections 2, 3, 22;
iv)	Mariners Employment Security Act (Act No. 130 of 1948), sections 2 and 4;
v)	Local Public Service Act (Act No. 261 of 1950), sections 13 and 60; Equal Employment Opportunity Act (Act No. 113 of
vi)	Equal Employment Opportunity Act (Act No. 113 of 1972), section 1;
vii) viii)	Part-Time Act (Act No. 76 of 1993, section 9.); Basic Act for Persons with Disabilities (Act No. 84 of
ix)	1970), section 4, paragraphs 1-3; Act on Employment Promotion, etc. of Persons With

Basic legal provisions



		Disabilities (Act No. 123 of 1960), sections 5, 10.S.s
	Grounds of discrimination	2008 AR: According to the ITUC: Discrimination is prohibited on grounds of race, gender, disability, language and social status (late observations under the 2007 AR).  2004 AR: According to the Government: Discrimination in respect of employment and occupation is prohibited on grounds of race/colour, sex, religion, political opinion, national extraction and social origin.  NIL.
	decisions	NIL.
Exercise of the principle and right	Special attention to particular situations	<ul> <li>2017 AR: The Government stated that the Technical Training Act enacted in November 2016 is intended to protect the technical intern trainees.</li> <li>2015 AR: According to the Government: Specific attention was paid to the prohibition of discrimination against people with disabilities and guidelines were formulated, based upon the Amendment of the Law for Employment Promotion, to eliminate discrimination against people with disabilities in employment.</li> </ul>
		2005 AR: According to the Government: Relevant statistics on the ealization of the principle and right (PR) are regularly kept by the Government.
Prevention- Monitoring, enforcement and sanctions mechanisms	2000-2007 AR Department of grasps the emp enforcement of implemented ir 2000-2002 ARs local branches, monitoring and	s: According to the Government: The Equal Employment Opportunity the Prefectural Labour Bureau visits offices in a planned manner and loyment management system of each enterprise in order to ensure the the Equal Employment Opportunity Act. Administrative guidance is a case of violation of this Act.  According to the Government: Inspection Offices are established as and the proper number of necessary personnel is allocated for the enforcement of the legal provisions. Dispute settlement is provided guidance and recommendation or mediation at the request of one or correct
Involvement of the social partners	2015 AR: The Council in the Employment C 2013 AR: The consultations in 2005 AR: Accobeen involved regarding the Pl the revision of t	e Government indicated that it consulted the tripartite Labor Policy process of amendment of the Ordinance for Enforcement of the Equal apportunity Act etc.  JTUC urged the Government to enhance effectiveness of the tripartite in order to push forward ratification.  Inding to the Government: Employers' and workers' organizations have in the development and implementation of governmental measures R. Indeed, representative of workers and employers were involved in the Labour Standards Bill (sections 3 and 4) and the Act on Securing, portunity and Treatment between Men and Women in Employment.
Promotional activities	Institutions to promote equality	According to the Government: The Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare.
	Other activities	distributed leaflets on the Technical Training Act.  2015 AR: The Government stated that it was promoting effective employment management in accordance with the Equal Employment Opportunity Act through public awareness raising on the content of the Equal Employment Opportunity Act and Positive Action including the new Ordinance for Enforcement of the Equal Employment Opportunity Act.
		<b>2001 and 2007 ARs:</b> According to the Government: Other programmes include: (i) recruitment and screening; (ii) distribution of various educational materials; (iii) educational activities via media; (iv) training for human rights promoters on fair recruitment and screening; and (v) training for businesspersons.
Special initiatives- Progress	was amended in persons with d rights and bene	ording to the Government: The Basic Act for Persons with Disabilities in 2011. It contains new provisions to prohibit discrimination against disabilities and removes various existing obstacles encouraging equal fits for persons with disabilities.  Ording to the Government: Part-Time Work Act was revised in 2007



		contract. 2001 and 200 implemented the accordance wite "One Month Women".	Of ARs: According to the Government: Educational activities are proughout the year to promote effective employment management in the Equal Employment Opportunity Act. June is considered as the Campaign on Equal Employment Opportunity between Men and
CHALLENGES IN REALIZING THE PRINCIPLE AND RIGHT	According to the social partners	Employers' organizations	NIL.
REALIZING THE PRINCIPLE AND RIGHT	social partners	Workers' organizations	2013 AR: According to the JTUC-RENGO: Given that the Government's indication that some domestic laws should be amended and new laws be enacted before C.111 is ratified, the JTUC insists that the Government immediately take necessary actions as follows: (i) list the laws and the practices which must be amended, and (ii) collect good examples how other countries ensured consistency with this Convention.  2010-2012 ARs: The JTUC-RENGO reiterated its appeal to the Government to ratify C.111, and regretted that no progress was made in this regard. It believed that it would be necessary for the Government to promote Diet Members' understanding of the importance of a core Convention such as C.111 so as to activate discussion at the Diet toward ratification of this instrument (for instance, the Government could make thorough explanation of the purport and background of the Convention, the importance of ratifying core Conventions, etc.).  2009 AR: According to the JTUC-RENGO: The Government expressed its view to the workers' and employers' organizations that enactment of the Fundamental Human Rights Protection Bill at the Diet is one of the prerequisites for the ratification of C.111. The Fundamental Human Rights Protection Bill aiming to ensure full-range human rights protection was introduced to the Diet, however, the Bill failed to pass the Diet and was abandoned in 2003 since the Bill did not guarantee independence of the monitor and relief organization, and the parties in opposition could not support. Thereafter, there has been no progress to realize the Bill at the Diet ropiblits discriminatory treatment to part-time workers, it seems only 1-5 per cent out of whole part-time workers who can enjoy the amendment, because the Act sets strict conditions for applicable scope of part-time workers. Therefore, necessity of amendment of the Act to realize equal treatment for whole part-time workers still remains.  2008 AR: According to the ITUC-RENGO: The Equal Employment Opportunity Act was revised in 2006. However, its a
			workplace; (iv) discrimination on grounds of social origin in recruitment;



(v) discrimination against foreign residents in national and local public services; (vi) disabled people are under-represented in private companies.

2005 AR: According to the JTUC-RENGO:

(i) no improvement in wage disparities between men and women; (ii) lack of labour legislation review.

2003 AR: According to the ICFTU:

(i) discretional choice given to the employer at recruitment; (ii) persisting discrimination against women workers; (iii) lack of penalty and sanction measures to address sexual harassment at workplace; (iv) persisting discrimination against migrant workers; (v) increasing vulnerability of disabled people in the labour market.

**2002 AR:** According to the JTUC-RENGO:

- (i) persisting discrimination in employment and occupation;(ii) lack of understanding of C.111.
- i AR: According to the ICFTU:
- (i) persisting discrimination against women workers; (ii) higher concentration of women in temporary jobs and increasing female unemployment, especially young women; (iii) managerial-track jobs as a male domain in most companies; (iv) lack of effective prohibition of discrimination; (v) lack of effective sanction measures as regard sexual harassment at workplace; (vi) Japanese nationality as a requirement for employment in national and local public services and the private sector; (vii) migrants workers subject to abuses.

According to the Government

2009 AR: According to the Government: Part-Time Work Act was revised in 2007 so as to correct unreasonable treatment against workers with part-time employment contract. In response to the ITUC's observations recorded under the 2008 AR, the Government indicated the following: The revised Equal Employment Opportunity Act between Men and Women provides that employers shall establish necessary measures in terms of employment management to give advice to workers and cope with problems of worker, and take other necessary measures so that workers they employ do not suffer disadvantages in their working conditions by reason of workers' responses to sexual harassment in the workplace, or so that their working environments are not harmed by sexual harassment utterance. For this revision, it clearly states that employers have an obligation to take a certain action in their employment management, and sexual harassment to men was also added as this law's object. Such measures as advice, guidance and recommendation can be taken by prefecture Labour Bureau for employers' violating the provisions of the Act. Furthermore, the public announcement system about the name of the company is applied to sexual harassment. When the employer does not obey the recommendation, the company's name will be disclosed to the public as a countermeasure under the Act. Both employees and employers became able to use the mediation procedure made by Prefectural Labor Bureau. These actions will surely work more effectively to prevent sexual harassment. Regarding prohibition of employment discrimination against Persons With Disabilities, the Basic Act for Persons with Disabilities (Act No. 84 of 1970) stipulates in its section 4, paragraphs 1, that "No one shall be allowed to discriminate against persons with disabilities or violate their rights and benefits on the basis of disability". In addition, the Act for Employment Promotion, etc. of Persons With Disabilities (LEPPD) (Act No. 123 of 1960) stipulates employers' obligation to maintain appropriate employment management (section 5). The Basic Policy on Measures of Employment for Persons with Disabilities elaborates on this stipulation: employers must ensure appropriate management with due consideration to factors such as recruitment, assignment and treatment of implementation of education and training for, and ensuring the health and safety of, persons with disabilities thereby employers must accordingly endeavour to realize a workplace where persons with disabilities can work along with non- disabled persons with a sense of fulfilment in life, according to their aptitude and capabilities, as well as to improve the quality of their working lives (Part 3 of the Basic Policy). There are various other measures to secure opportunities of open employment for persons with disabilities. For example, Public Employment Security Offices may refuse a job advertisement which requires, on no reasonable ground, the condition that the applicant does not have disabilities (section 10), LEPPD). The Government also provides guidance and advice to, and collects levies from, employers who do not meet the statutory employment rate of persons with disabilities. Meanwhile, the UN Convention on the Rights of Persons With Disabilities adopted in 2006 prohibits discrimination on the basis of disability



		(article 27-1(a)). The Government is currently making efforts to ratify the
		Convention at an early date. <b>2007 AR:</b> According to the Government: The Equal Employment Opportunity Act
		(Act No. 113 of 1972) was revised in 2006 with a view to promoting further equal opportunity and treatment between men and women in employment.
		In response to the JTUC-RENGO's, the Government indicated the following: In order to promote equal opportunity between men and women, the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare submitted to the diet at its 164th Session a revised Bill of Equal Employment Opportunity Act and related laws, which included provisions such as prohibition of discrimination against both men and women, and prohibition of indirect discrimination. The Bill was approved in June 2006. With regard to the structure of the provision prohibiting indirect discrimination, the Bill stipulates that the ministerial ordinance of the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare should specify 3 kinds of cases, and that these would be considered illegal when there are no legitimate reasons. It was decided to adopt this structure on the grounds that the Labour Policy Council, consisting of intellectuals, representatives of employers and employees (all employees' members are representatives of JTUC- RENGO or its affiliated groups), concluded that it would be appropriate to adopt a legal framework in which these 3 cases activities would be considered indirect discrimination, and that the scope of prohibition could be revised to include other cases if needed, taking the trend of judgments of the court into consideration. Therefore, the JTUC-RENGO's observation that the amendment was insufficient misses the point, because the amendment covers sufficient matters, and it was based on the tripartite consensus. Additionally, in July 2006 the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare started a discussion on a policy concerning part-time work in the Equal Employment Subcommittee of the Labour Policy Council, consisting of intellectuals, representatives of employers and employees, and it is scheduled to compile a final conclusion at the end of this year. The Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare intends to take appropriate action based on the conclusion.
		2005 AR: In response to the JTUC-RENGO's observations, the Government made the following comments: (i) a panel has been held in relation to the PR; (ii) the Minister of Health, Labour and Welfare will take appropriate measures as a follow up of discussions initiated by the Equal Employment Subcommittee of the Labour Policy Council since September 2004; (iii) it is necessary to reach an agreement on the issue of strengthening regulations to ensure equal treatment in working conditions for part-time workers and to implement adequate measures based on a national consensus.
		2000-2001 ARs: In its response to the JTUC-RENGO's comments, the Government made the following observations: (i) comments made by the JTUC-RENGO on ratified Conventions should not be reflected in the compilation of the annual report; (ii) the follow-up should not lead to the establishment of new supervisory machinery and to the duplication of the reporting system on non-ratified Conventions already established in the Constitution.
TECHNICAL COOPERATION	Request	<ul><li>2019 AR: The main technical need is sharing of experiences across countries/regions.</li><li>2018 AR: The Government reports that it would like to have ILO's technical</li></ul>
		cooperation for information of good examples of how countries, which have ratified C111, ensured consistency between their domestic laws and the Convention.
		<b>2015 – 2017 ARs:</b> The Government and JTUC-RENGO expressed the need for ILO's technical cooperation on providing information of good examples of how countries which have ratified C.111 ensured consistency between their domestic laws and the Convention.
		2014 AR: According to JTUC-RENGO: ILO technical assistance is needed for information on good examples of how other countries that ratified C.111 ensure consistency with their domestic laws. It would appreciate ILO's observations on specific domestic legal provisions which seem to be in conflict with C.111.  2009 and 2012 ARs: According to the JTUC-RENGO: ILO technical cooperation is needed in order to ensure consistency between C.111 and national laws. Also, if ILO expert(s) could visit Japan and illustrate the importance of ratification of this Convention to the members of Diet, the situation toward ratification will be very much improved.
	Offer	ILO (technical assistance in the labour law review process).



EXPERT-ADVISERS'	200
OBSERVATIONS/	gov
RECOMMENDATIONS	100
	step
	coo
	revi
	(cf.
COVEDNING DODY	

**2008 AR:** The ILO Declaration Expert-Advisers (IDEAs) noted the intentions expressed by most governments, including the Government of Japan, to ratify or consider ratification of conventions Nos. 100 and/or 111. They encouraged the governments to accelerate this process so as to make an important step forward towards universal ratification. Given that many countries have requested ILO technical cooperation in the ratification process (on the content of Conventions Nos. 100 and 111, labour law review, ratification process, etc.), the IDEAs requested the Office to strengthen its assistance this regard (cf. paragraphs 66 and 67 of the 2008 Annual Review Introduction – ILO: GB.301/3)

#### GOVERNING BODY OBSERVATIONS/ RECOMMENDATIONS

**2015 AR:** At its March 2014 Session, the Governing Body invited the Director-General to: (a) take into account its guidance on key issues and priorities with regard to assisting member States in their efforts to respect, promote and realize fundamental principles and rights at work; and (b) take account of this goal in the Office's resource mobilization initiatives.

2013 AR: At its November 2012 Session, the Governing Body requested the Director-General to take full account of the ILO Plan of Action on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work (2012-2016) and allocate the necessary resources for its implementation. This plan of action is anchored in the universal nature of the fundamental principles and rights at work (FPRW), their inseparable, interrelated and mutually reinforcing qualities and the reaffirmation of their particular importance, both as human rights and enabling conditions. It reflects an integrated approach, which addresses both the linkages among the categories of FPRW and between them, and the other ILO strategic objectives in order to enhance their synergy, efficiency and impact. In this regard, freedom of association and the effective recognition of the right to collective bargaining are particularly emphasized as enabling rights for the achievement of all these strategic objectives.

**2011 AR:** At its March 2010 Session, the Governing Body decided that the recurrent item on the agenda of the 101<sup>st</sup> Session (2012) of the International Labour Conference should address the ILO strategic objective of promoting and realizing fundamental principles and rights.

**2009 AR**: During its March 2009 Session, the Governing Body included the review of the follow-up to the 1998 ILO Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work on the agenda of the 99<sup>th</sup> Session (2010) of the International Labour Conference.

#### INTERNATIONAL LABOUR CONFERENCE RESOLUTION

**2013 AR:** In June 2012, following the recurrent item discussion on fundamental principles and rights at work, under the ILO declaration on Social Justice for a Fair Globalization, 2008 and the ILO Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work and its Follow-up, 1998, the International Labour Conference adopted the Resolution concerning the recurrent discussion on fundamental principles and rights at work. This resolution includes a framework for action for the effective and universal respect, promotion and realization of the FPRW for the period 2012-16. It calls for the Director- General to prepare a plan of action incorporating the priorities laid out in this framework for action for the consideration of the Governing Body at its 316<sup>th</sup> Session in November 2012.

2011 AR: Following a tripartite debate at the Committee on the 1998 Declaration, the 99th Session (2010) of the International Labour Conference adopted a resolution on the follow-up to the ILO Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work on 15 June 2010. The text appended to this resolution supersedes the Annex to the ILO Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work, and is entitled "Annex to the 1998 Declaration (Revised)". In particular, the resolution "[notes] the progress achieved by Members in respecting, promoting and realizing fundamental principles and rights at work and the need to support this progress by maintaining a follow-up procedure. For further information, see pages 3-5 of the following link: <a href="http://www.ilo.org/wcmsp5/">http://www.ilo.org/wcmsp5/</a> groups/public/---ed\_norm/---relconf/documents/meetingdocument/wcms 143164.pdf.