

#### **JAPAN (2000-2019)**

#### THE ELIMINATION OF ALL FORMS OF FORCED OR COMPULSORY LABOUR

REPORTING	Fulfillment of Government's reporting obligations Involvement of Employers' and Workers' organizations in the reporting process	the 2010-2011, YES, accordin - former NIK	ng to the Government: Involvement of the employers' (KEIDANREN KEIREN) and the workers' (the Japanese Trade Union Confederation IGO) organisations through consultations and communication of
OBSERVATIONS BY THE SOCIAL PARTNERS	Employers' organizations Workers' organizations	2018-2019 A 2014-2015 A 2010 AR: Ob 2007 AR: Ob Trade Union 2006 AR: Ob Confederation 2004-2005 A 2003 AR: Ob 2001-2002 A 2000 AR: Ob	servations by the JBF. <b>Rs</b> : Observations by the JTUC-RENGO. <b>Rs</b> : Observations by the JTUC-RENGO. servations by the JTUC-RENGO. Observations by the International Confederation (ITUC). servations by the JTUC-RENGO. Observations by the International n of Free Trade Unions (ICFTU). <b>R</b> : Observations by the JTUC-RENGO. servations by the ICFTU. <b>R</b> : Observations by the ITUC-RENGO. servations by the JTUC-RENGO. servations by the JTUC-RENGO. servations by the JTUC-RENGO.
EFFORTS AND PROGRESS MADE IN REALIZING THE PRINCIPLE AND RIGHT	Ratification	Ratification status Ratification intention	<ul> <li>Japan ratified in 1932 of the Forced Labour Convention, 1930 (No. 29) (C.29). However, it has not yet ratified the Abolition of Forced Labour Convention, 1957 (No. 105) (C.105).</li> <li>Under consideration since 2000 for C.105.</li> <li>2018-2019 ARs: The Government indicates that further study is needed, concerning the consistency between C.105 and national laws and regulations.</li> <li>2016 AR: According to the Government, it held discussions on ratifying C.105 at a tripartite consultation meeting and exchanged views with social partners requesting ratification of C.105. Further study is needed concerning the consistency between C.105 and national laws and regulations</li> <li>2015 AR: According to the Government: Although discussions were held with the social partners requesting ratification of C.105, further study is needed at the moment concerning the consistency between C.105 and national laws and regulations. JTUC-RENGO regrets the grave situation where no positive progress for ratification of C.105 has been made over the years. Tripartite consultation on this matter did take place in April 2015 at the "ILO Roundtable" set up based on C.144, but it considers the consultations be far from effective. The Government, referring to a cabinet decision in 1953, stipulates that no convention could be ratified unless all the potentially conflicting domestic laws are amended and argues that for this reason, Japan is unable to ratify C.105. Concern is raised that there is little or no intention for ratification of C.105 and urged the government to take positive and concrete actions to ratify it, and to collect information about how countries that have ratified this Convention. It also called for information, research and studies among the ministries and agencies concerned.</li> <li>JTUC-RENGO stated that tripartite consultation is taking place, including about ratification of C.105. While the government to take necessary measures to ratify it.</li> </ul>



_		
		<b>2013 AR</b> : The JTUC-RENGO expressed its disappointment that no progress was made towards ratification of C.105 and strongly urged the Government to ratify this instrument as soon as possible.
		<b>2012 AR</b> : The JTUC-RENGO indicated its support for the ratification of C.105 by Japan and reiterated the comments it made under the 2009 AR.
		<b>2010 AR</b> : The JTUC-RENGO reiterated its statement under the 2009 AR.
		<b>2009 AR</b> : According to the Government: No change. Further study is needed in view of, for instance, reviewing the relations between C.105 and national laws and regulations. According to the JTUC-RENGO: The Government should ratify C.105. The JTUC-RENGO believes it is necessary for the Government to strengthen its efforts to promote Diet Members' understanding of the importance of ratification of this fundamental Convention so as to activate discussion at the Diet toward ratification of this Convention (for instance, the Government can make thorough explanation about the purport and background of the convention, and importance of ratifying the fundamental Conventions, etc.).
		<b>2008 AR</b> : According to the JTUC-RENGO: The Government should ratify C.105.
		<b>2000-2004 and 2006 ARs</b> : The Government indicated that further study was needed on, for instance, the compliance between C.105 and national laws and regulations, as regards the ratification of C.105.
		<b>2001 AR</b> : NIKKEIREN encouraged Japan in examining the issue with a view to ratifying C.105.
0	Constitution	YES.
the principle and right		The Constitution of Japan provides in Article 18: that "No person shal be held in bondage of any kind. Involuntary servitude, excep
(prospect(s), means of action,		punishment for crime, is prohibited".
basic legal	Policy, legislation	• Policy:
provisions)	and/or regulations	<ul> <li>2018 AR: The Government report that in May 2018, based upon "Japan's 2014 Action Plan to Combat Trafficking in Persons", the government of Japan convened the ministerial-level meeting concerning measures against trafficking in persons, for the purpose of exploitation (including forced labour) and the annual report was prepared and published to show Japan's measure to combat trafficking in persons.</li> <li>2004 AR: According to the Government: The Labour Policies Commission is responsible for important matters relevant to labour policy and collaborates with the Minister of Health, Labour and Welfare or administration authorities concerned. This Commission is composed by representatives of employers', workers' and public interest organizations.</li> </ul>
		Legislation:
		<b>2015 AR</b> : According to the Government: The Action Plan to Combat Trafficking in Persons 2009 was revised into the Action Plan to Combat Trafficking in Persons 2014. This plan provides the following political measures: prevention of human trafficking for the purpose of labour exploitation; enhancement of cooperation of relevant administrative organs by the Task Force for the Enforcement of Human Trafficking Related Laws; strengthening of protection functions for human trafficking victims. Through these measures, the Government of Japan is making efforts to prevent and eliminate human trafficking and to protect and support human trafficking victims.
		<ul> <li>According to the JTUC-RENGO: No progress has been seen in amending domestic laws towards ratification of C.105 as of August 2015.</li> <li>Regulations:</li> </ul>
		<b>2000-2006 ARs:</b> According to the Government: The Labour Standards Bureau in the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare, Prefectural Labour Standards Offices and Labour Standards Inspection Offices as the local branches are established. The

WORK IN FREEDOM COUNTRY BASELINE UNDER THE ILO DECLARATION ANNUAL REVIEW

\_

		appropriate number of personnel is allocated at these agencies with a view to enforcing the Labour Standards Law. In addition, the Maritime Bureau in the Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism and the District Transport Bureau have established local branches in order to enforce the Mariners Law, etc., and the number of necessary personnel is allocated at these agencies.
	Basic legal	<b>2007 AR:</b> According to the Government:
	provisions	<ul> <li>(i) The Constitution of Japan, articles 14, 18-21, 28, 31, 32, 34 and 36;</li> </ul>
		(ii) The Penal Code (Law No. 45 of 1907), sections 193-196;
		<ul><li>(iii) The Labour Standards Law (Law No. 49 of 1947) sections 5 and 117;</li></ul>
		(iv) The Mariners Law (Law No. 100 of 1947), section 6;
		<ul> <li>(v) The National Public Service Law (Law No. 120 of 1947), sections 98,102 and 110;</li> </ul>
		<ul><li>(vi) The Rule of National Personnel Authority 14-7 (1949), sections 1-8;</li></ul>
		(vii) The Mail Law (Law No. 165 of 1947), section 79;
		(viii) The Trade Union Law (Law No. 174 of 1949), section 1;
		(ix) The Local Public Service Law (Law No. 261 of 1950), sections 36, 37 and 61;
		(x) The Gas Undertakings Law (Law No. 51 of 1954), section 53;
		<ul> <li>(xi) The Electric Undertakings Law (Law No. 170 of 1964), section 115; and The Telecommunications Business Law (Law No. 86 of 1984), section 180.</li> </ul>
	Definition of	NIL.
	forced or compulsory labour	
	Judicial decisions	NIL.
Exercise of the	Special	2019 AR: The Technical Intern Training Act establishes regulations
principle and	attention to	prohibiting acts that infringe the human rights of technical interr
right		trainees etc. and stipulates the required penalties for violations, and puts in place measures relating to the protection etc. of technical interr trainees.
		<b>2015 AR:</b> According to the Government: The 2014 Action Plan to Combat Trafficking in Persons states that consideration should be given to specific groups of people paid by relevant administrative agencies. For example, it says if a foreigner seeks consultation, a response should be made in the foreigner's mother tongue; if a
		woman seeks consultation, a female employee should respond.
	Information/ Data collection and	<b>2013 AR:</b> The Government indicated that the number of foreign nationals entering as "Entertainer[s]" continuously decreased to
	dissemination	<ul><li>26,100 in 2011.</li><li>2012 AR: The Government indicated that the number of foreign nationals entering as "Entertainer[s]" continuously decreased to</li></ul>
		<ul><li>28,600 in 2010.</li><li>2008 AR: The ITUC observed that according to statistics from</li></ul>
		public institutes, 106 persons were confirmed as victims of trafficking from January to October 2006.
		<b>2004-2006 ARs:</b> According to the Government: Statistics and information relevant to violations related to the elimination of al forms of forced or compulsory labour are registered during a
		periodical inspection. Information is available at the Labour Standards



	and Tourism.
Prevention- Monitoring, enforcement and sanctions mechanisms	<ul> <li>2019 AR: Measures taken include training and awareness-raising activities.</li> <li>2004 AR: According to the Government: In case of violation of the principle and right (PR) of the elimination of all forms of forced or compulsory labour, the Penal Code is enforced. Under the Labour Standards Law, forced labour is prohibited with regard to employers of private undertakings, and penal sanctions are provided</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>in case of violation of this law (sections 5 and 117). Moreover, inspection/monitoring mechanisms and penal sanctions have been implemented in Japan to facilitate the realization of the PR.</li> <li>2000-2004 ARs: According to the Government: Instructions are made to establishments deemed to have problems in relation to the implementation of the Labour Standards Law. In case of violations of legal provisions, "correction" i provided by the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare.</li> </ul>
Involvement of the social partners	<b>2018 AR:</b> The Government reports that relevant organizations such as the Cabinet Secretariat, the National Police Agency, the Immigration Bureau of Japan, and the Japan Coast Guard exchange views and information with NGOs, ILO, the International Organization for Migration (IOM), and other entities through fora such as the Contact Point Meeting on Trafficking in Persons and their everyday work, and conduct various training programs as part of their efforts to strengthen cooperation.
	<ul> <li>The National Police Agency also distributes leaflets to NGOs, IOM, and other entities and calls for cooperation.</li> <li>2013 AR: The JTUC-RENGO urged the Government to enhance effectiveness of tripartite consultations to push forward ratification of C.105.</li> <li>2004-2005 ARs: According to the Government: Employers' and workers organizations and other stakeholders have been involved in the Labour Policie Commission.</li> </ul>
activities	<b>2018 AR:</b> The Government reports that in September 2015, the Immigration Bureau of Japan listed on its website the contact points for consultation and provided informatio relating to trafficking in persons in eight languages, and in January 2016, poste information such as victim protection policies and procedures in eight languages i order to increase awareness of victim protection measures. The Immigration Bureau of Japan has designated every June as the month for Illegal Work Prevention Campaign During the campaign, it distributes leaflets at reception counters for the residence examinations, air and sea ports, and major station squares, calling for cooperation particularly among business owners, to prevent illegal employment. At the same timat it also requests the cooperation of the relevant ministries, agencies, local publicorganizations, employer associations, etc., and carries out activities such as publicatio on websites and issuance of press releases in order to raise awareness on the preventio of illegal employment. The Labour Standards Inspection Offices across Japan hav organized briefing sessions aimed at disseminating information and educatin supervisory organizations and technical training institutions on the labour standard related laws. The Government further indicates that since FY2004, the Cabinet Offic produce posters and leaflets every year for raising awareness on the measures agains trafficking in persons. In FY 2017, the Cabinet Office produced about 90,000 poster and leaflets titled "What? Human trafficking is happening even here in Japan?!", an distributed them to about 5,000 places including local authority, airports and marin ports, universities and technical colleges, the Japan Association of Trafficking i persons, appeal that trafficking in persons is a serious crime, give examples of possibly victims of trafficking in persons and call on people to contact their local police station or Immigration Bureau of Japan if they see anyone who appears to be a victim or trafficking in perso

WORK IN FREEDOM

		2015 AD: 4	poording to the Covernment. In light of the fact that the first
		persons (TIP) world, the Hu promotion ac grave violation	ccording to the Government: In light of the fact that trafficking in o for sexual exploitation or forced labour continues to occur in the man Rights Organs of the Ministry of Justice have carried out various ctivities, such as distributing leaflets about TIP, a serious crime and a n of fundamental human rights, under the slogan "Stop Trafficking in ne of its annual priority matters of promotion activities.
		2004 AR: Acco	ording to the JTUC-RENGO: A tripartite consultation was held on 10 <sup>th</sup>
		April 2007 and	the ratification of C.105 was argued.
			lay 2019, based upon "Japan's 2014 Action Plan to Combat Trafficking
			the government of Japan convened the ministerial-level meeting asures against trafficking in persons, for the purpose of exploitation
	_	(including force	ed labour) and the annual report was prepared and published to show
			to combat trafficking in persons.
CHALLENGES IN REALIZING THE	According to the social partners	· ·	<b>2001 AR:</b> According to NIKKEIREN: Tripartite consultations should be established to assess the difficulties and obstacles as regards the
PRINCIPLE AND RIGHT	_		ratification of C.105 and the appropriate measures to address them.
		Workers' organizations	<b>2016 AR:</b> The JTUC-RENGO pointed to frustration concerning the grave situation where no positive progress towards ratification of Convention No. 105 has been made. No concrete action towards ratification has been taken. In addition, there has been no progress in amending domestic legislation with a view to ratifying Convention
			No. 105, as of August 2016. One of the biggest impediments to ratification in terms of domestic legislation concerns provisions of "imprisonment with work", in several laws, including public service acts (both national and local The JTUC- RENGO also indicated that there was little progress on efforts such as research or information/data compilation towards ratification. It also called for a review of the Technical Intern Training Programme (TITP)
			which has often been identified as forced labour. <b>2013 AR:</b> The JTUC- RENGO called upon the Government to take immediate steps to list the laws and practices that must be amended and collect good examples from other countries on how to ensure consistency with C.105.
			<b>2010 and 2012 ARs:</b> The JTUC-RENGO reiterated its appeal to the Government to ratify C.105, and regretted that no progress was made in this regard. It believed that it would be necessary for the Government to promote Diet Members' understanding of the importance of a core Convention such as C.105 so as to activate discussion at the Diet toward ratification of this instrument (for instance, the Government could make thorough explanation of purport and background of the Convention, the importance of ratifying core Conventions, etc.).
			<b>2009 AR:</b> According to the JTUC-RENGO: The Bill Stipulating Civil Service Reform was enacted on 6 June 2008. This Bill provides, "the Government should show the people the whole picture of the reform, including the costs and benefits in such a case that the range of public service employees who have the rights to conclude collective agreements were expanded, and with the people's understanding, the Government should provide the transparent autonomous labour– management relations system (section 12)", and "the Government should take necessary legislative measures within three years after this Bill be enforced (section)". However, this law does not refer to the issue of penal servitude of public employees who engaged in political acts, or participated
			<ul> <li>in/conspired/instigated/incited strike actions. Also, it seems this issue has not been raised as a point to be resolved. Therefore, there have been no progress on this issue and no solution of the issue yet in sight.</li> <li>2008 AR: The JTUC-RENGO indicated that during the tripartite</li> </ul>
			consultation of April 2007, the Ministry of Labour and Welfare listed the following points where further study was needed as regards to compliance between C.105 and national laws: (i) sections 102.1 and 110.19 of the National Public Service Law (NPSL) prescribes penal servitude to public workers engaged in political acts; (ii) section 53.3 of the Gas Business Act, section 115.3 of the Electricity Business Act and article 79 of the Postal



Act, which provide that workers who have not performed without justifiable grounds be punished by imprisonment with labour; and (iii) sections 98.2 and 110.17 of the National Public Service law (NPSL) and sections 37.1 and 61.4 of the Local Public Service Law (LPSL) provide that public workers who attempt, conspire, instigate or incite strike action be punished by imprisonment with labour. During the WTO Trade Policy review in Japan in January- February 2007, the ITUC observed that there trafficking of people into Japan for the purpose of forced prostitution and forced work is still a problem. Women and girls, primary from Asian countries are trafficked into the country for sexual exploitation. Women sometimes enter legally under entertainer visas and many of those are exploited by criminal groups. According to statistics from public institutes, 106 persons were confirmed as victims of trafficking from January to October 2006. According to the ITUC, the Government of Japan revised the Immigration Control Law and Criminal Law in order to prevent and prohibit trafficking of persons in the country.
<ul> <li>2007 AR: According to JTUC-RENGO: A major barrier to the ratification of C.105 is that the National Public Service Law (NPSL) and the Local Public Service Law (LPSL) provide as follows:</li> <li>(i) "Personnel shall not strike or engage in delaying tactics or other acts of dispute against the public represented by National Government as employer, or resort to delaying tactics which reduce the efficiency of government operations, nor shall personnel or other persons attempt, conspire to effect, instigate or incite such illegal actions."; and (ii) "A person who conspires to effect, instigates or incites the illegal action defined in the first part of paragraph 2 of section 98 (NPSL) and the in the first part of paragraph 1 of section 37 (LPSL) or attempts such action shall be sentenced to panel servitude not to exceed three years or fined not to exceed one million yen (section 110,NSPL) and one hundred thousand yen (section61, LSPL)".</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>2006 AR: According to JTUC-RENGO: During consultations in May 2005 between the Prime Minister and JTUC-RENGO, the JTUC-RENGO raised the issue of fundamental trade unions rights in the public sector, in particular for civil service workers. The Government assured JTUC- RENGO that it would continue to secure the framework of Government-trade union consultations to address reforms of the public service system. However, since May consultations, there have been no Government-trade union consultations, and reforms of the public service system have completely stalled.</li> <li>The ICFTU raised the following challenges: Although forced labour is prohibited by law and does not generally occur in Japan, the</li> </ul>
National Public Service Law and the Local Public Service Law, which provide that public employees who incite strike action be fined or sentenced up to three-year imprisonment, or possibly dismissed, reprimanded with a pay cut or disciplined, are not in line with C.105 as it prohibits penal servitude as a punishment for having participated in strikes.
<b>2000-2005 ARs</b> : JTUC-RENGO raised the following challenges: (i) Japan should ratify C.105; the prohibition of strike for administrative employees, manual workers, employees of state and municipal enterprises; (iii) the punishment by forced labour for strike action; (iv) imprisonment and fine for leaders of "illegal" strikes; (v) the prohibition of political activities to white-collar employees of State and municipalities; (vi) the sanctions (dismissal and fines or sentences) imposed on public employees in case of strike action do not comply with C.105 as it prohibits penal servitude as a punishment for having participated in strikes; (vi) amendments to the National Public Service Law and the Local Service Public Law are needed; (vii) during tripartite consultations held in May, June and July 2004, trade unions expressed the need to ensure trade union rights in the public sector, in particular promoting the right to organize for fire fighters and prison staff and abolishing penalties



	including imprisonment for workers involved in strike.
According to the Government	
	above Act such as illegal entry in perspective of the purpose of protection, as well as a foreign national who is a perpetrator of trafficking in persons is to be refused landing in Japan or deported. With regard to prevention, in order to rigorously deal with the application case of entrance and residence in the purpose of activities as the residence status of "Entertainer" which is included victims of trafficking in persons, a part of the ministerial ordinance relating to "Entertainer" was amended twice in 2005 and 2006 and as a result, the number of foreign nationals entering as "Entertainer" drastically decreased from about 135,000 in 2004 to about 35,000 in 2008. In addition to the revision of the ordinance explained above, Japanese Embassies and Consulates-General overseas have introduced regime of examination of "Entertainer" visa applications. Furthermore, in order to prevent the usage of forged immigration document for trafficking in persons, most of Japanese Embassies and Consulates-General have introduced a system to produce machine-readable visa (MRV) stickers with the bearer's photograph which possesses high advanced anti-forgery techniques. Regarding the number of victims of trafficking in persons, there is a significant factual error in the figures given by the ITUC as 58 persons were concerned in 2006, as has been released by the National Police Agency of Japan.
	<b>2007 AR:</b> In response to the JTUC-RENGO's observations, the Government stated the following: If the JTUC-RENGO is of the view that the prohibition of strikes provided for in section 98, paragraph 2, of the National Public Service Law and section 37, paragraph 1, of the Local Public Service Law is a major barrier to Japan's ratification of C.105, it has to be made clear that as pointed out in previous ILO Report that this Convention merely prohibits the type of forced labour characterized to be "a punishment for having participated in a strike" and does not deal with the issue of the right of workers to strike per se. The persons who conspire, instigate or incite other public employees to strike or make such an attempt are the main persons concerned with the illegal act, their act to cause other public employees to undertake illegal activity is in itself of high illegality, and therefore penal sanctions, including imprisonment, may be imposed upon them under the National Public Service Law or the Local Public Service Law. These provisions do not refer to forced labour as a punishment for having participated in a strike. Regarding the ratification of C.105, the Government of Japan considers that the interpretation of the precise scope of forced labour prohibited by the Convention is not clear enough and therefore a careful study is still needed with respect to, among other things, consistency between the provisions of the Convention and of the relevant national laws and regulations in force in Japan. In relation to observations made on the Civil Service Reform as an important issue that should be worked on promptly, because the public is highly concerned about public service employees these days. The Government of Japan also recognizes

		that it is necessary to hold sufficient exchanges of views with relevant parties regarding the reform. The Government of Japan held the ministerial-level meetings with the Japanese Trade Union Confederation (JTUC-RENGO) in January, March and May 2006. Based on these meetings, the Government of Japan established the "The Special Examination Committee of the Headquarters for the Promotion of Administrative Reform". Having held its first meeting on 27 July [2006], the Committee has held five meetings altogether so far, and it has examined the scope of government affairs in a simple and efficient government; the classification of personnel who carry out government affairs; what those government affairs and personnel should be; and based on these examinations, the prospective labour-employer relationship in the public sector, including the fundamental labour rights of public service employees. <b>2006 AR:</b> In response to the JTUC-RENGO's observations, the Government stated that during the May 2005 meeting, it acknowledged it was necessary to continue to hold meetings with JTUC-RENGO on the Civil Service Reform. In response to the ICFTU's observations, the Government mentioned that under the National Public Service Law or the Local Public Service Law, penal sanctions, including penalty of imprisonment, might be imposed upon the persons who conspire, instigate or incite other public employees to strike or make such an attempt and upon the mein authors of euch illeval act The Government further
		attempt, and upon the main authors of such illegal act. The Government further indicated that these provisions did not refer to forced labour as a punishment for having participated in a strike. <b>2004 AR:</b> In response to JTUC-RENGO's comments, the Government raised the following observations: (i) the interpretation of the precise scope of forced labour prohibited by the Convention is not clear and a study is still needed mainly with respect to compliance between the provisions of the Convention and national laws and regulations in Japan; (ii) the prohibition of strikes as provided for in national laws is not an obstacle to the ratification of C.105; (iii) the Public Service Law and the Local Public Service Law provide for punishment for the main conspirators or instigators of highly unlawful acts. <b>2001 AR:</b> In response to the JTUC-RENGO's comments, the Government raised the following observations: (i) asking governments to make observations on comments presented by workers' organizations, and reflecting these comments and observations in the compilation of annual reports, are contrary to the overall purpose of the annual follow-up; (ii) the Government would like to know the position of the Office in this respect; (iii) the appropriateness of discussing the aforementioned questions in the Governing Body; (iv) comments submitted by JTUC-RENGO should not be taken into account in the annual follow-up; (v) the follow-up should not lead to the establishment of a new supervisory machinery and should not create the duplication of the reporting system on non-ratified Conventions already established in the Constitution.
TECHNICAL COOPERATION	Request	<ul> <li>2018-2019 ARs: The Government reports that it would like to have ILO's technical cooperation for of information of good examples of how countries, which have ratified C105, ensured consistency between their domestic laws and the Convention.</li> <li>2016 AR: The Government and JTUC –RENGO stated that it would be helpful if the ILO conducted research on how countries that have ratified Convention No. 105 abide by the Convention through their national laws and regulations, and distributed the results of this research. JTUC-RENGO further stated that the Government seemed to hesitate to take concrete steps to consult with the ILO on that matter due to a lack of interagency consultation and coordination.</li> <li>2015 AR: The Government and JTUC-RENGO expressed the need for ILO's technical cooperation on providing information of good examples of how countries which have ratified C.105 ensured consistency between their domestic laws and the Convention.</li> <li>2014 AR: According to the JTUC-RENGO: ILO technical cooperation is needed to provide information and good examples to non-ratifying states, including Japan, about how countries that ratified C.105 ensure consistency between their domestic laws and the Convention.</li> <li>2009, 2010 and 2012 ARs: According to the JTUC-RENGO: ILO technical cooperation is needed in order to ensure consistency between C.105 and the national laws. Also, if ILO expert(s) could visit Japan and illustrate the importance of ratification of this instrument to the Members of Diet, the situation toward ratification will be very much improved.</li> <li>2008 AR: According to JTUC-RENGO: The interpretation of the precise scope for forced labour prohibited by the Convention is not clear and ILO technical support</li> </ul>

		would be needed in that regard.
	Offer	<ul> <li>2004-2007 ARs: According to JTUC-RENGO: Needs for ILO technical cooperation exist in the following two priority areas: (i) the interpretation of the precise scope of forced labour prohibited by the Convention is not clear and a study is needed mainly with regard to compliance between the provisions of the Convention and relevant national laws and regulations.</li> <li>NIL.</li> </ul>
	Oller	NIL.
EXPERT-ADVISERS' OBSERVATIONS/ RECOMMENDATIONS	Confederation to the conclu However, give the IDEAs rec	The ILO Declaration Expert-Advisers (IDEAs) noted that Japanese Trade Union (JTUC-RENGO) had reported that tripartite consultations held in April 2007 had led sion that some national labour laws did not comply with the provisions of C.105. en that the Government of Japan sent a no change report for the 2008 Annual Review, juested it to provide updated information concerning the JTUC-RENGO's observations 44 of the 2008 Annual Review Introduction – ILO: GB.301/3).
	labour in sever recognizing the indispensable what in a data	e IDEAs welcomed the significant increase in the reports of action to combat forced eral countries, including Japan, and noted that an increasing number of States were hat forced labour does exist in their country []. For the IDEAs, such recognition was to combating forced or compulsory labour, as it was undoubtedly the first step in aunting but essential task (cf. paragraphs 40 and 41 of the 2007 Annual Review ILO: GB298/3).
	precise scope in clarification IDEAs also of RENGO and national work Annual Review	the IDEAs reiterated that in cases where countries faced difficulties in identifying the of forced or compulsory labour, the Government should turn to the ILO for assistance in. They further requested that Japan carry out the study it mentioned in this regard. The considered that the example of regular and constructive contributions by JTUC-the AFL-CIO (United States) should be expanded upon, in particular among other ers' organizations, as well as employers' organizations (cf. paragraph 190 of the 2005 w Introduction - ILO: GB.292/4).
	ILO, for assista scope of forced	IDEAs mentioned that in cases where governments were in doubt, they should turn to the nce in clarification. Japanmay usefully do so with regard to better clarifying the precise I labour, and indeed carry out the study it mentions in this respect. The results of such be illuminating () (cf. paragraph 112 of the 2004 Annual Review Introduction).
GOVERNING BODY OBSERVATIONS/ RECOMMENDATIONS	into account i efforts to resp	its March 2014 Session, the Governing Body invited the Director-General to: (a) take ts guidance on key issues and priorities with regard to assisting member States in their ect, promote and realize fundamental principles and rights at work; and (b) take account the Office's resource mobilization initiatives.
	take full acco 2016) and alle the universal interrelated ar both as human the linkages objectives in association an	a its November 2012 Session, the Governing Body requested the Director-General to unt of the ILO Plan of Action on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work (2012- boate the necessary resources for its implementation. This plan of action is anchored in nature of the fundamental principles and rights at work (FPRW), their inseparable, and mutually reinforcing qualities and the reaffirmation of their particular importance, a rights and enabling conditions. It reflects an integrated approach, which addresses both among the categories of FPRW and between them, and the other ILO strategic order to enhance their synergy, efficiency and impact. In this regard, freedom of and the effective recognition of the right to collective bargaining are particularly enabling rights for the achievement of all these strategic objectives.
	2011 AR: At i agenda of the strategic objec 2009 AR: Durin 1998 ILO Decl	its March 2010 Session, the Governing Body decided that the recurrent item on the 101 <sup>st</sup> Session (2012) of the International Labour Conference should address the ILO tive of promoting and realizing fundamental principles and rights. ng its March 2009 Session, the Governing Body included the review of the follow-up to the laration on the Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work on the agenda of the 99 <sup>th</sup> of the International Labour Conference.
INTERNATIONAL LABOUR CONFERENCE RESOLUTION	2013 AR: In at work, unde Declaration or Labour Confe principles and universal resp Director- Gen	June 2012, following the recurrent item discussion on fundamental principles and rights er the ILO declaration on Social Justice for a Fair Globalization, 2008 and the ILO in Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work and its Follow-up, 1998, the International erence adopted the Resolution concerning the recurrent discussion on fundamental rights at work. This resolution includes a framework for action for the effective and ect, promotion and realization of the FPRW for the period 2012-16. It calls for the eral to prepare a plan of action incorporating the priorities laid out in this framework the consideration of the Governing Body at its 316 <sup>th</sup> Session in November 2012.
	<b>2011 AR:</b> Foll (2010) of the Declaration on this Resolution at Work, and	owing a tripartite debate at the Committee on the 1998 Declaration, the 99 <sup>th</sup> Session International Labour Conference adopted a Resolution on the follow-up to the ILO Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work on 15 June 2010. The text appended to supersedes the Annex to the ILO Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights is entitled "Annex to the 1998 Declaration (Revised)". In particular, the Resolution rogress achieved by Members in respecting, promoting and realizing fundamental



principles and rights at work and the need to support this progress by maintaining a follow-up procedure. For further information, see pages 3-5 of the following link: <u>http://www.ilo.org/wcmsp5/groups/public/---ed\_norm/---relconf/documents/meetingdocument/wcms\_143164.pdf</u>.